

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills TEA Party News

MEDIA COMMITTEE MEETING – McALISTER'S EVERY WED AT 5:30pm

Everyone is welcome – we ARE the Working Group

SEPTEMBER 29 – SPECIAL Mtg: 1pm at Manhattan City Library Auditorium – REGIONAL PLANNING ORG issue Q&A/discussion – Come ask your questions!

WHERE ARE YOU ON KSU FOOTBALL GAME DAYS? “YES for Liberty” Scholarship team is working a Concession Stand at the KSU Home Games to earn money for the Scholarship. Can you help? YOU are needed!! Contact Chris Tawney for details!! (785-532-8618). If this is impossible for you, perhaps you can help with \$\$'s. The Concessions pay off, but \$\$'s from you would help.

Kansas Voter Uprising -- GOP incumbents who resisted reform get early retirement. America's grass-roots voter rebellion continued on Tuesday [Aug 7], most notably in Kansas, where at least nine incumbent Republicans in the state Senate lost their primary re-election bids to conservative challengers.

One big winner is Governor Sam Brownback, who campaigned in 2010 promising a tax cut to make the slow-growth Kansas economy more competitive. But his plan to reduce tax rates and close loopholes ran into trouble in the Senate, which has been controlled by GOP moderates led by President Steve Morris. The Governor managed to pass his tax cut, but Mr. Morris and his coalition refused to cut loopholes, which was part of a strategy of deliberately increasing a budget deficit in order to undermine public support for the rate cuts.

Mr. Brownback now has a conservative majority and a voter mandate to finish the job of simplifying the Kansas tax code and maybe phasing out the income tax. Conservatives for the first time in decades next year will have a governing majority with at least 26 of the 40 Senate seats. "This is all about making Kansas a more competitive place to do business," he says. Voters agreed.

The spin in the national media is that this Kansas earthquake was bought by Charles Koch, the CEO of Koch Industries in Wichita. Mr. Koch and groups like the Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Koch-supported Americans for Prosperity certainly spent large sums to defeat the incumbents.

But the liberal Republicans spent roughly as much by forging alliances with labor unions, government contractors such as road construction companies, and such interest groups as the Realtors that wanted to preserve their tax breaks. Unions even formed a Super PAC to help the Republican incumbents.

This is also being portrayed as a victory for the religious right, and there's no doubt that social conservatives are part of Mr. Brownback's coalition. But social conservatives have long battled cultural liberals for dominance in the Kansas GOP. The difference this time was voter angst, if not anger, over the limping economy, foot-dragging on tax cuts, and state Senate opposition to a state Health Freedom Act that rejected ObamaCare.

Similar voter uprisings against incumbency and insider deal-cutters have occurred this year in Indiana, Nebraska and Texas. GOP voters in these states want more principled representatives who are willing to fight for a faster and more far-reaching reform of a broken status quo—on everything from education to entitlements to the tax code. The old line is that there's no education in the second kick of a mule, but Mr. Morris and his fellow Republicans might disagree.

<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB1000087239639044365920457757573771145842.html>

POLITICAL DIARY

August 8, 2012, 12:12 p.m. ET

Kansas's Conservative Rebellion By [COLLIN LEVY](#) Kansas Governor Sam Brownback is smiling this morning after yesterday's GOP primaries in his state delivered a fresh batch of conservative lawmakers. In a state where Republicans heavily dominate the House and Senate, groups of liberal Republicans have lately formed coalitions with Democrats to derail the Governor's agenda, including pro-growth tax cuts.

After yesterday's GOP primaries, nine state Senate moderates were ousted by conservative challengers, including Senate President Steve Morris, who had been an outspoken critic of Mr. Brownback and who had been in office for two decades. The Lawrence Journal-World reports that other Senate incumbents beaten by more conservative challengers were Tim Owens, Roger Reitz, Bob Marshall, Ruth Teichman, Jean Schodorf, Peter Brungardt, Dick Kelsey & Dwayne Umbarger.

The conservative victories rolled in despite reports from the state's Democratic Party officials yesterday that hundreds of Democrats were temporarily switching parties in order to vote for the moderates in the contested Republican primaries.

Incumbent cabin fever may also have helped, as many voters said they were ready for new blood to tackle the state's economy. Mr. Brownback's agenda started with the contentious income tax cuts, but he may be in the position now to push a bolder and broader policy agenda, much as Governor Scott Walker did in Wisconsin. In addition to fiscal policy, Mr. Brownback is expected to address the judicial nominations process, take on the political influence of labor unions and work on reforming the pensions for public sector employees.

The real question is: WHO DUG UP THE INFO ON THESE "GOP INCUMBENTS" AND MADE SURE THE CITIZENS HAD IT IN HAND? It wasn't Brownback – it wasn't Charles Koch & his millions – it wasn't Americans for Prosperity!! If you think it was any of these, tell me how they got the needed info to the citizens, so they could actually SEE who & what was the problem in the State Senate. There were 9, NINE!! RINOs (Republicans In Name Only) that were targeted!! These NINE were exposed to their constituents for what they were doing. The general public doesn't look up voting records, don't really know what bills say what & who votes for or against which bills, or who introduces what legislation. That along with the fact that the Senators of Kansas did NOT DO THEIR APPOINTED JOB of passing a redistricting map & Kansas had to PAY the Courts millions of dollars, to do the Senates JOB. And why didn't these Senators do their appointed job? Because a couple of them would not be able to run in their usual areas & would be voted out – like they were after the courts did the redistricting. When the Kansas Citizens were informed of all of this they realized NEW Senators were needed!! Do YOU know who researched, found, paid for printing, paid for the distribution of the info to the citizens? I'll give you just 3 guesses and the first 2 don't count. TEA Party and other groups of similar objectives did the work, printing, distributing & were rewarded with success!! Thank you, Patriots!!!!

Illegals To Get Food Stamps Via Joint U.S.D.A. – Mexico Program

posted on July 20, 2012 by DaTagliare

First the Federal government offers free medical and education benefits to illegal aliens, all at the cost to U.S. taxpayers. Now, you can add food stamps to the list of free benefits they will be receiving.

In an agreement that was originally signed in 2004 between then U.S. Secretary of Agriculture Ann M. Veneman and Mexican Secretary of Foreign Affairs Luis Ernesto Derbez Bautista, both governments will provide education and guidance to help Mexican nationals living and working in the U.S. to receive help obtaining food stamps and other programs.

On the U.S.D.A. website, Reaching Low-Income Hispanics With Nutritional Assistance, it states three ways in which they plan in getting more aid and benefits to Hispanics living and working in the U.S. Those three ways are: 1) Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) which replaced food stamps; 2) Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC); 3) Child Nutrition Programs.

Further down in the same U.S.D.A. document it reads:

“Partnering with Mexico. USDA and the government of Mexico have entered into a partnership to help educate eligible Mexican nationals living in the United States about available nutrition assistance. Mexico will help disseminate this information through its embassy and network of approximately 50 consular offices.”

Keep reading the U.S.D.A. document to see just how far the government is going to reach out to Hispanics. The one thing I noticed is that it does not specify if the Mexican nationals living and working in the U.S. are legal or illegal, but you can bet your tax dollars that if the Mexican government is going to be providing this information through 50 offices to their people heading to the U.S. that a significant number of them will not be coming legally.

I also noticed that this program was first launched in 2004 under President George W. Bush. Veneman, who signed the agreement of the U.S. is currently serving as the executive director of UNICEF, which should explain why she has such liberal views as to disregard the difference between taking care of legal citizens and providing handouts for illegal aliens.

At least one current politician is wanting more information about the U.S. – Mexican partnership. Sen. Jeff Sessions (R-AL) has asked the current U.S.D.A. Secretary, Tom Vilsack for more documents relating to the

partnership. Sessions has been pushing to tighten the standards under which people receive aid from the SNAP program. In speaking on the matter, Sessions stated:

“It’s a very disturbing policy, gone on for some years, and it raises very serious questions about American immigration policy as well as fiscal policy. Let’s get back to the fundamentals. What happened with the ‘96 welfare reform was to say that if you want to come to America you come legally, you assert you’re not coming for welfare benefits but you’re coming to work or otherwise be independent. There is no logic behind an immigration policy that would encourage immigrants who can’t successfully operate within this society.”

“An immigration policy should seek to bring people to the United States who will be able to function independently without government subsidies. We’ve got millions of people that want to come here, millions of people who would be able to perform without a subsidy, so we need to be selecting those people.”

Sessions also says that there is a problem with the way many states operate welfare type programs. In a number of instances, they simply accept the word of the applicant that they are legally eligible to receive the aid. Some states use the Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE) program to verify legal status, but others do not.

I agree with Sen. Sessions in that the United States hands out way too much help to people coming to the U.S., especially those that come illegally. Most people that I know who legally immigrated to the U.S. had to show proof that they had the means to support themselves or had a sponsor stating that they would assume that responsibility. I believe the same should be true for all people immigrating and for those that can’t provide that proof, I would turn them around and send them back on their merry way.

Our country is financially bleeding to death from many different wounds. Some of those wounds have been inflicted by giving handouts to illegal aliens. If we want to stop the bleeding, we have to stop the problems that are causing it. That means much tighter border security, no more handouts for non-U.S. citizens and stronger enforcement of immigration laws. Once we stop the bleeding from these wounds, we can turn our focus to other wounds in hopes of saving the patient – the United States of America.

Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/6223/illegals-to-get-food-stamps-via-joint-u-s-d-a-mexico-program/#ixzz21KJ23FDc>

Why Mitt Romney is Unlikable...

> A lot is being said in the media about Mitt Romney not being "likable" or that he doesn't "relate well" to people. Frankly, we struggled to understand why. So after much research, we have come up with a Top Ten List to explain this "unlikability."

Top Ten Reasons To Dislike Mitt Romney:

1. Drop-dead, collar-ad handsome with gracious, statesmanlike aura. Looks like every central casting's #1 choice for Commander-in-Chief.
- > 2. Been married to ONE woman his entire life, and has been faithful to her, including through her bouts with breast cancer and MS.
- > 3. No scandals or skeletons in his closet. (How boring is that?)
- > 4. Can't speak in a fake, southern, "black preacher voice" when necessary.
- > 5. Highly intelligent. Graduated cum laude from both Harvard Law School and Harvard Business School...and by the way, his academic records are NOT sealed.
- > 6. Doesn't smoke or drink alcohol, and has never done drugs, not even in the counter-culture age when he went to college. *Too square for today's America?*
- > 7. Represents an America of "yesterday", where people believed in God, went to Church, didn't screw around, worked hard, and became a SUCCESS!
- > 8. Has a family of five great sons... and none of them have police records or are in drug rehab. But of course, they were raised by a stay-at-home mom, and that "choice" deserves America's scorn.
- > 9. Oh yes... he's a MORMON. We need to be very afraid of that very strange religion that teaches its members to be clean-living, patriotic, fiscally conservative, charitable, self-reliant, and honest.
- > 10. And one more point... pundits say because of his wealth, he can't relate to ordinary Americans. I guess that's because he made that money HIMSELF... as opposed to marrying it or inheriting it from Dad. Apparently, he didn't understand that actually working at a job and earning your own money made you unrelatable to Americans.

The problems we face today exist because the people who work for a living are outnumbered by those who vote for a living!!!!!!

Michelle Obama Encourages Pastors to Break the Law -- July 2, 2012 by Gary Demar

Where's the ACLU and Americans United for Separation of Church and State when Liberal Democrats politic in Churches? If the wife of a conservative candidate went into churches to ask the people to vote for her husband, groups like the ACLU and Americans United would be sending threatening letters that the church could lose its tax-exempt status.

Michelle Obama doesn't have to worry since no liberal is going to protest. But the first time a conservative appeals to church leaders to make their faith active politically, the ACLU will be ready to pounce on them.

Here's what the First Lady said to the members in attendance at the African Methodist Episcopal Church Conference: "Our faith journey isn't just about showing up on Sunday for a good sermon and good music and a good meal. It's about what we do Monday through Saturday as well. It's especially in those quiet moments, when the spotlight's not on us, and we're making those daily choices about how to live our lives."

I agree with her comments. Too many conservative Christians limit their faith to the "four walls of the church." But this has never stopped liberal churchgoers. They've always used the church as a rallying point for voter drives.

Michelle Obama went on to say: "And to anyone who says that church is no place to talk about these issues, you tell them there is no place better, **no place better**. Because ultimately, these are not just political issues; they are moral issues. They're issues that have to do with human dignity and human potential, and the future we want for our kids and our grandkids. And the work of inspiring and empowering folks, the work of lifting up families and communities, that has always been the work of the AME Church."

What? Did she actually say that "these are not just political issues; they are moral issues"? For decades Liberals have been telling Conservatives, "You can't impose your view of morality on other people." While Conservatives fell for this lie, Liberals imposed their morality on us with the passage of every new law.

So now we have a model for church-directed political activism. If politicking in churches is good enough for the First Lady, then it's good enough — and legal — for pastors to do the same. If you're a pastor and receive a letter from the ACLU to cease and desist from preaching politics from the pulpit, just quote Michelle Obama: "And to anyone who says that church is no place to talk about these issues, you tell them there is no place better."

Amen. Preach it, sister!

Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/5970/michelle-obama-encourages-pastors-break-law/#ixzz1za9AyVPR>

YOU ARE PROBABLY NOT VERY INTERESTED IN ANY USED FARM EQUIPMENT, BUT YOU MIGHT ENJOY THIS AD TAKEN FROM CRAIGS LIST... FIFTY-YEAR-OLD MANURE SPREADER - \$1 (WASHINGTON, D.C.) Fifty-year-old manure spreader. Not sure of brand. Said to have been produced in Kenya. Used for a few years in Indonesia before being smuggled into the US via Hawaii. Of questionable pedigree. Does not appear to have ever been worked hard. Apparently it was pampered by various owners over the years. It doesn't work very often, but when it does it can really sling the manure for amazing distances. I am hoping to retire the manure spreader in November. I really don't want it hanging around getting in the way. I would prefer a foreign buyer to relocate the manure spreader out of the country. I would be willing to trade it for a nicely framed copy of the United States Constitution. Location: Currently being stored in a big white house in Washington, D.C.

If this was MY ad, I would even offer to pay transportation if returned to its origin – would that be Kenya?

Facts about Mitt Romney

His full name is: Willard Mitt Romney. He was born March 12, 1947 & is 65 years old.

His Father: George W. Romney, former Governor of the State of Michigan. He was raised in Bloomfield Hills, Michigan. He is married to Ann Romney since 1969; they have five children.

Education: B.A. from Brigham Young University, J.D. and M.B.A. from Harvard University. After going to both Harvard Business School and Harvard Law School simultaneously, he passed the Michigan bar exam, but has never worked as an attorney.

Religion: Mormon - The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints

Working Background: After high school, he spent 30 months in France as a Mormon missionary. In 1984, he co-founded Bain Capital a private equity investment firm, one of the largest such firms in the United States.

In 1994, he ran for US Senator from Massachusetts and lost to Ted Kennedy. He was President and CEO of the 2002 Winter Olympic Games. In 2002, he was elected Governor of the State of Massachusetts where he eliminated a \$1.5 billion deficit.

Some Interesting Facts about Romney: Bain Capital, starting with one small office supply store in Massachusetts, turned it into Staples; now over 2,000 stores employing 90,000 people. Bain Capital also worked to perform the same kinds of business miracles again and again, with companies like Domino's, Sealy, Brookstone, Weather Channel, Burger King, Warner Music Group, Dollarama, Home Depot and many others. He was an unpaid volunteer campaign worker for his dad's gubernatorial campaign 1 year. He was an unpaid intern in his dad's governor's office for eight years. He was an unpaid bishop and stake president of his church for ten years. He was an unpaid President of the Salt Lake Olympic Committee for three years. He took no salary and was the unpaid Governor of Massachusetts for four years. He gave his entire inheritance from his father to charity. Mitt Romney is one of the wealthiest self-made men in our country but has given more back to its citizens in terms of money, service and time than most men. And in 2011 Mitt Romney gave over \$4 million to charity, almost 19% of his income.... Just for comparison purposes, Obama gave 1% and Joe Biden gave \$300 or .0013%.

Mitt Romney is Trustworthy: He will show us his birth certificate. He will show us his high school and college transcripts. He will show us his social security card. He will show us his law degree. He will show us his draft notice. He will show us his medical records. He will show us his income tax records. He will show us he has nothing to hide.

Mitt Romney's background, experience and trustworthiness show him to be a great leader and an excellent citizen for President of the United States.

You may think that Romney may not be the best representative the Republicans could have selected. At least I know what religion he is, and that he won't desecrate the flag, bow to foreign powers, or practice fiscal irresponsibility. I know he has the ability to turn this financial debacle that the current regime has gotten us into. We won't like all the things necessary to recover from this debt, but someone with Romney's background can do it. But, on the minus side, he never was a "Community Organizer", never took drugs or smoked pot, never drank alcohol, did not associate with communists or terrorists, nor did he attend a church whose pastor called for God to damn the US.

Now think of what we have had the last 4 years...

WOW!!!! What an Improvement over Obama!!

[Home](#) » Near Media Blackout on Success of Chick-Fil-A Day

Near Media Blackout on Success of Chick-Fil-A Day

posted on August 3, 2012 by [The Godfather](#)

A major United States business had a single-day record amount of sales because tens of thousands of people around the United States stood with them when the media and homosexual groups condemned the company president's comment that he believed in "traditional marriage," you know, the type of marriage that 99.9 percent of married couples in the world are involved in.

One would think that this never-before-display of moral solidarity would have been front-page news on every major newspaper in the country.

I checked *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution* to see how it would report on the story. There was a short article below the fold on the front page. This was odd considering that Chick-Fil-A is an Atlanta company and lines were long all over the city.

National papers were equally silent on the mega-demonstration of support for Chick-Fil-A. When they saw the long lines, the full parking lots, and the record-breaking sale figures, they decided it was no longer a story they could manipulate. Consider this report from *The Weekly Standard*:

But you wouldn't know anything about the national phenomenon by reading the front pages of most of the country's leading newspapers. There's no mention of Chick-Fil-A on the front pages of the *New York Times*, the *Wall Street Journal*, the *Washington Post*, the *Chicago Tribune*, the *Los Angeles Times*, the *South Florida Sun-Sentinel*, and the *Boston Globe*. The front pages of *USA Today*, the *Dallas Morning News*, and the *Houston Chronicle* have small headlines about the restaurant, while Chick-Fil-A's hometown paper, the *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, fits in a story below the fold under the heading, "Chick-Fil-A Controversy." And the front pages of major news websites are quiet in their coverage as well.

This was after nearly every media outlet slammed the company on a daily basis leading up to the Appreciation Day

Let's see how the media will report today's "Kiss-In," a campaign that encourages members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender community to participate in a public display of same-sex affection at Chick-Fil-A outlets nationwide.

This might be a good thing. The majority of Americans don't see homosexuals engaging in sexual embrace. Maybe they'll finally understand that it's not about being "gay" but engaging in same-sex sex. Some homosexuals are rethinking the protest strategy. "The kiss-in, meanwhile, has some gay and lesbian

supporters questioning whether such outward displays of affection will ultimately help or hurt their cause.”
What are they afraid of if homosexuality is no different from heterosexuality?

Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/6450/near-media-blackout-on-success-of-chick-Fil-a-day/#ixzz22W9qxAEt>

Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/6450/near-media-blackout-on-success-of-chick-Fil-a-day/#ixzz22WAsfNYT>

For Manhattan residents: our local Chick-Fil-A is in the KSU Student Union food court – 785-532-5680.

You just HAVE to love Texas!!

The City of Dallas, Texas, passed an ordinance stating that if a driver is pulled over by law enforcement and is not able to provide proof of insurance, the car is towed.

To retrieve the car after being impounded, they must show proof of insurance to have the car released.

This has made it easy for the City of Dallas to remove uninsured cars.

Shortly after the "No Insurance" ordinance was passed, the Dallas impound lots began to fill up and were full after only nine days. 80%+ of the impounded cars were driven by illegals.

Not only must they provide proof of insurance to have their car released, they have to pay for the cost of the tow, a \$350 fine, and \$20 for every day their car is kept in the lot.

Accident rates have gone down 47%, and... Dallas' solution gets uninsured drivers off the road WITHOUT making them show proof of nationality.

Wonder how the ACLU or the Justice Department will get around this one. **GO Dallas!**

Dallas passed this ordinance – not sure how it is working for them by now.

Liberal Tyranny and the Cluck Heard Around the World by J. Matt Barber on Aug. 4, 2012

At a time when record numbers of Democrats in the House and Senate face the very real threat of ouster, the DNC has inexplicably opted to put its stamp of approval on the radical redefinition of marriage – the fundamental cornerstone of any healthy society.

Already nervous, Democrats in at-risk districts are now popping anti-depressants like Pez. They have reason to freak out; especially when you consider that the Democratic Party itself remains robustly divided over this highly controversial issue.

Republicans' response? Oh please, please don't throw us in that briar patch.

Of course, Wednesday's massive outpouring of support for Chick-Fil-A provided a visual reminder to all concerned that the vast majority of morally minded Americans reject the oxymoronic notion of so-called "same-sex marriage." It proved with earthshaking resonance that liberals' whole "gay-marriage-is-inevitable" thing remains as sterile as the fraudulent concept itself.

Don't forget, we live in a constitutional republic where "we the people" are the sovereign, and elected officials are the hired help. When "we the people" have spoken on marriage, we've spoken without stuttering.

Thirty-two states have voted on it, and 32 states have protected it via constitutional amendment. Marriage is as marriage was and will be forevermore: the joining of man and wife.

But, hey, Democrats made their silly mock-marriage bed. They can sleep in it.

Still, there's another white paper bag full of goodies from Chick-Fil-A. Executives from corporations, large and small, should sit up and take notice. Don't be intimidated by insufferable homo-fascist organizations like the incongruously tagged "Human Rights Campaign" and GLAAD, the "Gay & Lesbian Alliance Against Defamation." Their bark is much, much worse than their bite.

No, you've just seen a highly successful business model in action. It's simple: 1) Publicly acknowledge God's objective, transcendent truth; 2) Infuriate the toothless "progressive" establishment, prompting calls for boycotts and empty threats to ban you; and 3) Rake in the cabbage.

Stand up for what's right, good and true, and America's got your back.

So be encouraged. Despite liberals' best efforts, freedom of speech, God's design for marriage and reverence for sexual morality are alive and well in America. There is still hope for this great nation.

Not without a fight - Line in the sand has been drawn - Liberal lies versus truth - Perverse "pride" versus patriotism - Tyranny versus freedom - Where will you stand?

More at: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/6468/liberal-tyranny-and-the-cluck-heard-around-the-world/2/#ixzz22hVDQNSZ>

Jobs Spin Hides Continuing Downfall of American Economy

posted on August 4, 2012 by Tad Cronn Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/6465/jobs-spin-hides-continuing-downfall-of-american-economy/#ixzz22ha3zTuL> Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/6465/jobs-spin-hides-continuing-downfall-of-american-economy/#ixzz22hZnOCCI>

They're at it again.

The Obama Administration and the media conspired on Friday to spin the unemployment figures for July and hide just how bad the economy remains.

There were 163,000 nonfarm jobs added in July, up from June. The media and White House touted this as a sign of great improvement in the economy.

What they didn't mention is that July also saw the civilian non-institutional population grow by 199,000. Also, 150,000 people left the civilian labor force, and the number of people employed decreased by 195,000 while those considered officially unemployed increased by 45,000, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

That all was reflected in the fact that the unemployment rate rose 0.1 percent to 8.3 percent, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

But what do they know?

Nothing, according to the White House, which quibbled that the actual jobless rate was "only" 8.254 percent, not 8.3.

According to Alan Krueger, chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers, writing on the White House website, "The household survey showed that the unemployment rate ticked up to 8.3% in July (or, more precisely, the rate rose from 8.217% in June to 8.254% in July)."

Krueger insisted that was "essentially unchanged," despite the pesky mathematical increase.

GOP presidential candidate Mitt Romney and other Republicans had a different take on the uptick. "Today's increase in the unemployment rate is a hammer blow to struggling middle-class families," Romney said.

His words ring true in more ways than one. The flat number the White House and BLS reported is called the "U-3" statistic, and it only counts those recently unemployed who are currently looking for a job.

But there are many people who have either given up hope of getting a job or who are underemployed, working part time instead of full time. Those numbers are reflected in the BLS's "U-6" category, the total unemployed, which for July rose from 14.9 percent to 15 percent.

So while the Associated Press touted the job growth as "the fastest pace since February" and the White House proclaimed 4.5 million jobs added in 29 months, the facts remained that the U.S. is still mired in the longest period of above-8 percent unemployment since World War II, 42 straight months.

The Associated Press some months ago had predicted below-8 percent unemployment in time to give Obama a re-election boost. At this point, his administration will need to do some heavy manipulation of numbers to get there.

More: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/6465/jobs-spin-hides-continuing-downfall-of-american-economy/#ixzz22hZ8KlBF>

Subject: PUT ME IN CHARGE . . .

"IF YOU CAN'T FIX IT WITH A HAMMER, YOU'VE GOT AN ELECTRICAL PROBLEM"

Written by a 21 yr old female - WOW, this girl has a great plan! Love the last thing she would do the best.

This lady gets it. It's her future she's worried about and this is how she feels about the social welfare big government state that she's being forced to live in! These solutions are just common sense in her opinion.

This was in the Waco Tribune Herald,, Waco, TX, Nov. 18, 2011.

PUT ME IN CHARGE

Put me in charge of food stamps. I'd get rid of Lone Star cards, no cash for Ding Dongs or Ho Ho's, just money for 50-pound bags of rice and beans, blocks of cheese and all the powdered milk you can haul away. If you want steak and frozen pizza, then get a job.

Put me in charge of Medicaid. The first thing I'd do is to get women Norplant birth control implants or tubal ligations. Then, we'll test recipients for drugs, alcohol, and nicotine. If you want to reproduce or use drugs, alcohol, or smoke, then get a job.

Put me in charge of government housing. Ever live in a military barracks? You will maintain our property in a clean and good state of repair. Your home will be subject to inspections anytime, and possessions will be inventoried. If you want a plasma TV or Xbox 360, then get a job and your own place.

In addition, you will either present a check stub from a job each week or you will report to a “government” job. It may be cleaning the roadways of trash, painting and repairing public housing, whatever we find for you. We will sell your 22-inch rims and low profile tires and your lasting stereo and speakers and put that money toward the “common good”.

Before you write that I’ve violated someone’s rights, realize that all of the above is voluntary. If you want our money, accept our rules. Before you say that this would be “demeaning” and ruin their “self esteem,” consider that it wasn’t that long ago that taking someone else’s money for doing absolutely nothing was demeaning and lowered self esteem.

If we are expected to pay for other people’s mistakes we should at least attempt to make them learn from their bad choices. The current system rewards them for continuing to make bad choices.

And while you are on Government subsistence, you no longer can VOTE! Yes, that is correct. For you to vote would be a conflict of interest. You will voluntarily remove yourself from voting while you are receiving a Government welfare check. If you want to vote, then get a job.

Obama Whines Michelle Doesn’t Collect Salary As First Lady

by Tim Brown on August 9, 2012 Taken from FREEDOM OUTPOST, liberty@freedomoutpost.com

And now we get more of the whining Barack Obama we’ve come to get annoyed at and despise. At a campaign stop in Colorado on Wednesday, Barack Obama got in touch with his feminine side (which isn’t that hard after he’s been hanging out in Chicago bath houses) in an attempt to sweep some women voters off their feet. Just what was his tactic? He complained that his wife, Michelle, did not receive a salary for her First Lady duties.

First Lady duties? Say what? No one elected Mrs. Obama or gave her a post to represent the people. Why should we then pay her for being Obama’s wife? Listen as Barry tries to play the tiniest of violins as he appeals to the emotional women in his audience.

“I want to make sure that when she’s working she’s getting paid the same as men,” Obama said. “I gotta say that First Ladies right now don’t [get paid], even though that’s a tough job!”

It’s a tough job? Please! It must be tough running around the country at tax payer’s expense for purchasing expensive lingerie, spending millions for a Hawaii vacation, as well as, flying all around the world with an entourage of gullible liberal groupies and attending big dinners with famous people. Yes, that must be quite the job Mrs. Obama has. I bet she hardly breaks a sweat or a nail.

Now compare that to my wife. Yes I’m going to take time to praise the woman that God gave me. We were recently made aware that she is not just a mother of nine, but now a mother of ten. That in and of itself is a huge job, but she cooks, cleans, washes clothes, runs a small business, helps to educate our kids at home, is a chauffeur, a fashion designer, a nurse to injured kids, a promoter of what is good and much more, yet still has time to keep herself up and look amazing all through the day! Does she get a salary for being a mom? No. She helps me accomplish a goal and that is the dominion mandate from God. I give thanks to God for her and sing her praises to those I come in contact with. As the Proverbs declare, “Her husband is known in the gates, when he sitteth among the elders of the land.” I am known by my wife, and she is known to all by me. “Her children rise up and call her blessed.” She is a wise and virtuous woman whose worth is far above rubies. She is a gift from the Lord. She is my equal, our purposes are the same, but our roles are vastly different.

No other president in our history has made such a selfish, self-serving and ridiculous request as Barack Obama did. I have an idea for Obama: Split your salary with her, which is what should be happening anyway. Matter of fact, just give her all of it. I mean you’ve told us you don’t need a tax cut. I say you don’t need any of the pay taxpayers give you. Give it to your wife for another vacation and set the example instead of whining like a little, henpecked, angry man.

It appears that for Barack Obama his wife is simply a sidekick that needs to get paid for... wait, what is she supposed to get paid for? Telling me how to eat healthy? Demanding that I exercise? Please. It won’t be long until Barry will be whining about his two daughters not getting paid for being first children.

Read more: <http://freedomoutpost.com/2012/08/obama-thinks-michelle-should-be-paid-as-first-lady/#ixzz23J9SwzoZ>

This is our moment & we won’t give in or give up until we win this thing. Paul Ryan

Dear Patriot, Yesterday morning, I stood with thousands of patriots in downtown Norfolk, Virginia, as Mitt Romney announced Paul Ryan as his running mate.

My family and I stood yards from Romney and Ryan. It looks like our tireless hard work for liberty and limited government is paying off. I was most encouraged to hear Ryan talk about the principles and ideals of

liberty upon which our nation was founded. Now we have a true freedom fighter on the GOP ticket!

It was a historic moment, but even as Paul Ryan spoke, the Obama campaign launched its attacks. Obama's thugs are already casting Paul Ryan as a national calamity.

At 9:46 a.m. yesterday morning, the Obama campaign released a statement calling Paul Ryan "reckless" and "radical." They also said he shows a commitment to "budget-busting tax cuts." Get ready for the shelling!

++ Paul Ryan will be the most vilified VP choice in history. The liberals are terrified of Paul Ryan. He's young, energetic, and articulate. He's Ronald Reagan all over again – their worst nightmare. So starting today, they will attack, attack, attack.

++ Liberal attack dogs won't stop at anything. Patriot Super PAC -- Grassfire's official Super PAC -- was there on the USS Wisconsin. We'll be there for the fight through November 6. This is our moment and we won't give in or give up until we win this thing. And like Paul Ryan said yesterday morning, **"We will win this thing!"** Again quoting Ryan, all that is left to do is **"get this done."**

+ + Help Your Super PAC "Get This Done"! **Patriots, we are in the final countdown -- less than 13 weeks [now 42 days] to Election Day! Patriot Super PAC is launching major initiatives in key battleground states -- to reach millions of key swing voters. But we urgently need your help right now!**

Please consider making a donation NOW to support our work here at Patriot Super PAC. Make a donation of \$25, \$50, \$100, \$500 or more. Take a stand for what Paul Ryan said yesterday.

Go here: http://www.patriotsuperpac.net/3951/offer.asp?Ref_Id=600012

Paul Ryan said, "Our founders got it right when they wrote in the Declaration of Independence that our rights come from nature and nature's God, not from government." Don't let Obama destroy Ryan's vision for America! **[Make a donation NOW to show your support for Paul Ryan's principles. Let's win this thing! Will you donate \\$25, \\$50, \\$100, \\$500 or more TODAY?](#)**

~ Steve Elliott, Board Member Patriot Super PAC

P.S.: I felt privileged to be there with my family as Romney introduced Paul Ryan as his running mate for the very first time. Ryan is a true, principled, Reagan conservative. This is OUR MOMENT! Now, we must take action! Please help your Patriot Super PAC as we work to defeat Obama. Go here:

http://www.patriotsuperpac.net/3951/offer.asp?Ref_Id=600012 **This is YOUR Super PAC!**

Paid for by Patriot Super PAC, patriotsuperpac.com. Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee. Contributions are not deductible as charitable donations for federal income tax purposes.

For your consideration: <http://bayourenaissanceman.blogspot.com/2012/08/the-2012-presidential-race-is-still-way.html>

Excerpts: "I'm not opposed to President Obama because he's a Democrat. I'm opposed to him because he's both utterly incompetent (from a sound management point of view) and frighteningly competent (from a socialist/Marxist point of view). He's also corrupt, dishonest and a statist from head to toe. I'm not opposed to Mitt Romney because he's a Republican. I'm opposed to him because *he's as much of a statist as President Obama*, albeit from a somewhat different perspective, and panders to the political elite rather than addressing the real needs of the country. I firmly believe that either candidate may (and probably will) lead this country to complete and utter ruin. The only difference is that President Obama can (and probably will) do it within his second term, whilst Mitt Romney may take a few years longer than that, probably leaving the 'end game' to his successor(s). As such, I suppose Mr. Romney represents the lesser of two evils... but it's unutterably depressing to have to vote for anyone on the basis of 'having the least negatives' rather than 'having the most positives'."

"It no longer matters what either President Obama, or his Republican wannabe challengers, offer as policies for this country. All those policies depend on a functioning economy to underpin them... and **our economy is teetering on the verge of ceasing to function.** We're venturing into uncharted territory, dealing with numbers the like of which the world has never seen. Nobody knows for sure just how bad things might get, because no-one's ever had to deal with these levels of debt before... but we can be absolutely sure of one thing. This economic collapse *will* happen. It's as certain as the sunrise, because ***not one of the likely Presidential candidates in 2012 is facing this reality, and/or offering any workable solutions for it.***"

"The only way to stop these consequences is to cut back US government spending, *right now*, to a level commensurate with its income. However, **that isn't going to happen:** because the electorate, deprived of its entitlement programs, would immediately vote out of office any and all Congressional Representatives, Senators and the President who passed such legislation. Therefore, we're going to go on inching towards the edge of the **economic cliff** until we completely lose our footing and fall over it. All the Presidential campaigning that will plague us over the next year is **completely and utterly irrelevant** if it doesn't take this economic reality into account... but it won't. It *can't*, because

none of the likely candidates, from either party, dares to face up to economic reality. They know they would never be elected if they did. As far as the Presidential election is concerned, we are truly screwed.”

”Our only recourse is to seek out, nominate and elect Congressional Representatives and Senators who understand economic reality, and who will have the courage to work towards policies that acknowledge and address that reality. I don't care what party they're from. If they're honest people, who will do what's right, I'll support them. They'll have to understand that if they do the right thing, they'll see the electorate turn against them: but *they have to have the moral courage to do it anyway.*”

JDA

[We thank John d'Aloia for his contributions.]

Obama Campaign launches 'People of Faith for Obama' Purely Blasphemous!

Posted by Darla Dawald, National Director on September 18, 2012 at 11:46pm in Patriot Action Alerts

This is so wrong on so many levels. But then again we have the Marxist/Muslim in Chief... so I don't know what I expected. The man doesn't know how to do the right thing.... and as he always states "we need to level the playing field." This is simply a game to him to win votes and that is it.

Obama did not participate in the National Day of Prayer in all his time in the White House, yet participated and hosted Ramadan for the Muslims. Now he has the gall to appeal to the Christians and speaks about his Christian faith as a guiding light during his time as President. **Blasphemy!!**

CBO Confirms, Obamacare to Tax Millions of Middle-Class Americans

Washington, D.C. - U.S. Senate Republican Leader Mitch McConnell released the following statement today regarding a new CBO report that says Obamacare will result in tax hikes for 6 million mainly middle-class Americans:

“For years, the President and his Democrat allies in Congress have sworn up and down that failing to comply with the individual mandate did not result in a tax on individuals or families. And the reason was obvious: if Americans knew that failure to comply resulted in a tax hike, it never would have passed. And now the non-partisan CBO makes clear that the tax will hit 6 million Americans—mainly middle-class individuals and families. This is just one more reason among many for why Obamacare must be repealed.”

Yahoo News Reports

President Obama's landmark healthcare overhaul is projected to cost \$1.76 trillion over a decade, reports the [Congressional Budget Office](#), a hefty sum more than the \$940 billion estimated when the healthcare legislation was signed into law. To put it mildly, ObamaCare's projected net worth is far off from its original estimate -- **in fact, about \$820 billion off.**

Backtracking to his [September 2009 remarks](#) to a joint session of Congress on healthcare, Obama asserted the following: "Now, add it all up, and the plan I'm proposing will cost around \$900 billion over 10 years -- less than we have spent on the Iraq and Afghanistan wars, and less than the tax cuts for the wealthiest few Americans that Congress passed at the beginning of the previous administration."

Oh, yeah, let's not worry about it. It's only 820 Billion Dollars off. Didn't Obama and all the Dems at the Democratic National Convention state time and time again they are FOR the middle class and we are for taxing the middle class and supporting the rich? I know, I know, I digress. But, really?

How will this affect US businesses you ask?

Washington Examiner Reports:

Business owners will pay \$4 billion more in taxes under President Obama's Affordable Care Act (ACA) than the Congressional Budget Office had previously expected.

“According to the updated estimates, the amount of deficit reduction from penalty payments and other effects on tax revenues under the ACA will be **\$5 billion more than previously estimated,**” the **CBO reported today.** “That change primarily effects a **\$4 billion increase** in collections from such payments by employers, a \$1 billion increase in such payments by individuals, and an increase of less than \$500 million in tax revenues stemming from a small reduction in employment-based coverage, which will lead to a larger share of total compensation taking the form of taxable wages and salaries and a smaller share taking the form of nontaxable health benefits.”

In short, CBO revised the Obamacare tax burden upward by \$4 billion for businesses and \$1 billion to \$1.5 billion for individual workers.

Just a drop in the bucket, right?

FoxNews states:

The penalties paid will add up to about \$8 billion per year, CBO said. The penalty for going uninsured will be start off at either \$695 or 2.5 percent of household income, whichever is greater. It will increase over time.

The individual mandate requires most taxpayers to either buy insurance or pay a penalty. It was the centerpiece of the Supreme Court's historic ruling on the healthcare law, in which the court upheld the mandate as an appropriate use of Congress's power to levy taxes

Disgrace in Benghazi by Mark Steyn on Sept. 15, 2012 at 4AM

So, on a highly symbolic date, mobs storm American diplomatic facilities and drag the corpse of a U.S. ambassador through the streets. Then the president flies to Vegas for a fundraiser. No, no, a novelist would say; that's too pat, too neat in its symbolic contrast. Make it Cleveland, or Des Moines.

The president is surrounded by delirious fanbois and fangurls screaming "We love you," too drunk on his celebrity to understand this is the first photo-op in the aftermath of a national humiliation. No, no, a filmmaker would say; too crass, too blunt. Make them sober, middle-aged midwesterners, shocked at first, but then quiet and respectful.

The president is too lazy and cocksure to have learned any prepared remarks or mastered the appropriate tone, notwithstanding that a government that spends more money than any government in the history of the planet has ever spent can surely provide him with both a speechwriting team and a quiet corner on his private wide-bodied jet to consider what might be fitting for the occasion. So instead he sloughs off the words, bloodless and unfelt: "And obviously our hearts are broken . . ." Yeah, it's totally obvious.

And he's even more drunk on his celebrity than the fanbois, so in his slapdashery he winds up comparing the sacrifice of a diplomat lynched by a pack of savages with the enthusiasm of his own campaign bobbysoxers. No, no, says the Broadway director; that's too crude, too ham-fisted. How about the crowd is cheering and distracted, but he's the president, he understands the gravity of the hour, and he's the greatest orator of his generation, so he's thought about what he's going to say, and it takes a few moments but his words are so moving that they still the cheers of the fanbois, and at the end there's complete silence and a few muffled sobs, and even in party-town they understand the sacrifice and loss of their compatriots on the other side of the world.

But no, that would be an utterly fantastical America. In the real America, the president is too busy to attend the security briefing on the morning after a national debacle, but he does have time to do Letterman and appear on a hip-hop radio show hosted by "The Pimp with a Limp." In the real State Department, the U.S. embassy in Cairo is guarded by Marines with no ammunition, but they do enjoy the soft-power muscle of a Foreign Service officer, one Lloyd Schwartz, tweeting frenziedly into cyberspace (including a whole chain directed at my own Twitter handle, for some reason) about how America deplores insensitive people who are so insensitively insensitive that they don't respectfully respect all religions equally respectfully and sensitively, even as the raging mob is pouring through the gates.

When it comes to a flailing, blundering superpower, I am generally wary of ascribing to malevolence what is more often sheer stupidity and incompetence. For example, we're told that, because the consulate in Benghazi was designated as an "interim facility," it did not warrant the level of security and protection that, say, an embassy in Scandinavia would have. This seems all too plausible — that security decisions are made not by individual human judgment but according to whichever rule-book sub-clause at the Federal Agency of Bureaucratic Facilities Regulation it happens to fall under. However, the very next day the embassy in Yemen, which *is* a permanent facility, was also overrun, as was the embassy in Tunisia the day after. Look, these are tough crowds, as the president might say at Caesar's Palace. But we spend more money on these joints than anybody else, and they're as easy to overrun as the Belgian consulate.

As I say, I'm inclined to be generous, and put some of this down to the natural torpor and ineptitude of government. But Hillary Clinton and General Martin Dempsey are guilty of something worse, in the Secretary of State's weirdly obsessive remarks about an obscure film supposedly disrespectful of Mohammed and the chairman of the joint chiefs' telephone call to a private citizen asking him if he could please ease up on the old Islamophobia.

Forget the free-speech arguments. In this case, as Secretary Clinton and General Dempsey well know, the film has even less to do with anything than did the Danish cartoons or the schoolteacher's teddy bear or any of the other innumerable grievances of Islam. The 400-strong assault force in Benghazi showed up with RPGs and mortars: That's not a spontaneous movie protest; that's an act of war, and better planned and executed than the dying superpower's response to it. Secretary Clinton and General Dempsey are, to put it mildly, misleading the American people when they suggest otherwise.

One can understand why they might do this, given the fiasco in Libya. The men who organized this attack knew the ambassador would be at the consulate in Benghazi rather than at the embassy in Tripoli. How did that happen? They knew when he had been moved from the consulate to a “safe house,” and switched their attentions accordingly. How did that happen? The United States government lost track of its ambassador for ten hours. How did that happen? Perhaps, when they’ve investigated Mitt Romney’s press release for another three or four weeks, the court eunuchs of the American media might like to look into some of these fascinating questions, instead of leaving the only interesting reporting on an American story to the foreign press.

For whatever reason, Secretary Clinton chose to double down on misleading the American people. “Libyans carried Chris’s body to the hospital,” said Mrs. Clinton. That’s one way of putting it. The photographs at the Arab TV network al-Mayadeen show Chris Stevens’s body being dragged through the streets, while the locals take souvenir photographs on their cell phones. A man in a red striped shirt photographs the dead-eyed ambassador from above; another immediately behind his head moves the splayed arm and holds his cell-phone camera an inch from the ambassador’s nose. Some years ago, I had occasion to assist in moving the body of a dead man: We did not stop to take photographs en route. Even allowing for cultural differences, this looks less like “carrying Chris’s body to the hospital” and more like barbarians gleefully feasting on the spoils of savagery.

In a rare appearance on a non-showbiz outlet, President Obama, winging it on Telemundo, told his host that Egypt was neither an ally nor an enemy. I can understand why it can be difficult to figure out, but here’s an easy way to tell: Bernard Lewis, the great scholar of Islam, said some years ago that America risked being seen as harmless as an enemy and treacherous as a friend. At the Benghazi consulate, the looters stole “sensitive” papers revealing the names of Libyans who’ve cooperated with the United States. Oh, well. As the president would say, obviously our hearts are with you.

Meanwhile, in Pakistan, the local doctor who fingered bin Laden to the Americans sits in jail. In other words, while America’s clod vice president staggers around pimping limply that only Obama had the guts to take the toughest decision anyone’s ever had to take, the poor schlub who actually did have the guts, who actually took the tough decision in a part of the world where taking tough decisions can get you killed, languishes in a cell because Washington would not lift a finger to help him.

Like I said, no novelist would contrast Chris Stevens on the streets of Benghazi and Barack Obama on stage in Vegas. Too crude, too telling, too devastating.

- *Mark Steyn*, a National Review columnist, is the author of *After America: Get Ready for Armageddon*.
 - © 2012 Mark Steyn [Permalink](#)
-